

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL fill co,

Honorable A. J. Bryan, Jr Criminal District Attorney Hillsboro, Texas

Dear Ir:

Attention: Mr. Wm. B. Mertin

Re: Employment for road work in Precinct by County Commissioner of brother-in-law of another commissioner.

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of November 22, 1940, requesting the opinion of this Department upon the following questions:

"A county commissioner would like to hire a man to work on the roads in his precinct who is a brother-in-lew of one of the other commissioners. Would the commissioner be violating the Repotism law if he kired the man?

"We are in doubt as to whether or not such estion would be probletted by the Nepotism law since the employment need not be confirmed by the commissioners equal nor voted on by the Court."

Articles 438 and 435, Vernon's Annotated Penel Code, read as follows:

any officer of any district, county, city, precinct, school district, or other municipal subdivision of this State, or any officer or member of any State, district, county, city, school
district or other municipal board, or judge of
any court, created by or under authority of any
general or special law of this State, or any member of the Legislature, shall appoint, or vote
for, or confirm the appointment to any office,
position, clerkship, employment or duty, of any
person related within the second degree by af-

finity or within the third degree by consanguinity to the person so appointing or so voting, or to any other member of any such board,
the Legislature, or court of which such person
so appointing or voting may be a member, when
the salary, fees, or compensation of such appointee is to be paid for, directly or indirectly,
out of or from public funds or fees of office of
any kind or character whatsoever. Acts 1909,
p. 85, Acts 1915, p. 149."

"Art. 433. The inhibitions set forth in this law shall apply to and include the Governor. Lieutenant Governor, Speaker of the House of Representatives. Railroad Commissioners, head of departments of the State government, judges and members of any and all Boards and courts established by or under the authority of any general or special law of this state, members of the Legislature, mayors, commissioners, recorders, aldermen and members of school boards of incorporated cities and towns. public school trustees, officers and members of boards of managers of the State University and of its several branches, and of the various State educational institutions and of the various State eleemosynary institutions, and of the penitentiaries. This enumeration shall not be held to exclude from the operation and effect of this law any person included within its general provisions."

A brother-in-law of one of the county commissioners is related to that commissioner by affinity in the first degree. Opinion No. 0-119; T. T. R. R. Company vs. Overton, l App. C. C., Section 535; Baker vs. McRimmon (T. C. A.) 48 S. W. 742; Ex parts West, 60 Tex. Cr. Rep. 485, 132 S. W. 339; 2 C. J. 379. Such relationship is, of course, within the degree prohibited by Article 432.

You state, "we are in doubt as to whether or not such action would be prohibited by the Nepetism Law since the employment need not be confirmed by the commissioners' court nor voted on by the Court." We assume that the contemplated employment is out of county funds (the County Road and Bridge Fund) and under such circumstances the County Commissioners' Court must necessarily, for it is its duty, approve such employment. Under Articles 1573 and 1660, Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, all claims against a county must first be presented to the county auditor, if there be one, and then be presented to the commissioners' court for approval or rejection. Anderson vs. Ashe, 99 Tex. 447, 90 S. W. 874; Yantis vs. Montague Co., 50 Tex. Civ. App. 403, 110 S. W. 162; McLennan Co. vs. Miller, 257 S. W. 680; Bitter vs. Bexar Co., 266 S. W. 264. Under such

circumstances it is our opinion that the employment is prohibited. We have so held.

In opinion No. 0-272, this department held that the employment by one county commissioner of the nephew of another county commissioner would be a direct violation of Articles 432 and 433 of the Penal Code.

In opinion No. 0-556, this department held that it would be a violation of the law for a commissioner of one precinct to appoint as overseer the nephew of the commissioner of another precinct, or to hire a relative of another commissioner to repair machinery when the labor is to be paid out of county funds.

Consequently, it is the opinion of this department, and you are advised that under Articles 432 and 433 of the Penal Code, a county commissioner may not hire one to work the roads in his precinct who is a brother-in-law of another of the commissioners when such employment is paid for out of public or county funds.

Very truly yours

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By

James D. Smullen
Assistant

JDS:EP

APPROVEDDEC 6, 1940

SW Jairchild

acting ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

APPROVED

CPINION
COMMITTER

BY SUR
CHAIRMAN